

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION and PLANNING AND LAND USE MANAGEMENT (PLUM) COMMITTEE REPORT relative to a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) appeal filed for the property located at 464 North Crane Boulevard.

Recommendations for Council action:

1. DETERMINE, that based on the whole of the administrative record as supported by the justification prepared and found in the administrative case file, the Project is exempt from the CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines, Section 15303, Class 3, and there is no substantial evidence demonstrating that any exceptions contained in Section 15300.2 of the State CEQA Guidelines regarding location, cumulative impacts, significant effects or unusual circumstances, scenic highways, or hazardous waste sites, or historical resources apply.
2. ADOPT the FINDINGS of the East Los Angeles Area Planning Commission (ELAAPC) as the Findings of Council.
3. RESOLVE TO DENY THE APPEAL filed by Crane Boulevard Safety Coalition (Representative: Jamie T. Hall, Channel Law Group, LLP), and THEREBY SUSTAIN the determination of the ELAAPC in approving a Categorical Exemption as the environmental clearance for the construction, use, maintenance of a new, three-story, 45 feet in height, 3,633 square foot single-family dwelling with a 533-square foot attached garage, on an 8,914.1 square foot vacant lot; for the property located at 464 North Crane Boulevard.

Applicant: Rachel Foullon and Ian Cooper

Representative: Simon Storey, Anonymous Architects

Environmental No. ENV-2020-428-CE-1A

Case No. DIR-2020-427-SPP

Fiscal Impact Statement: The ELAAPC reports that there is no General Fund impact as administrative costs are recovered through fees.

Community Impact Statement: None submitted

Summary:

At a regular meeting held on May 2, 2023, the PLUM Committee considered a report from the ELAAPC and CEQA appeal for the property located at 464 North Crane Boulevard. DCP staff provided an overview of the matter. A representative for Council District One provided comments in support of denying the appeal. After an opportunity for public comment, and presentations from the Appellant Representative and Applicant, the Committee recommended to deny the appeal and thereby sustain the ELAAPC's determination in approving the Categorical Exemption as the environmental clearance for the project. This matter is now submitted to the Council for consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,

PLANNING AND LAND USE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>VOTE</u>
HARRIS-DAWSON:	YES
RODRIGUEZ:	ABSENT
YAROSLAVSKY:	ABSENT
LEE:	YES
HUTT:	YES

CR
22-0163_rpt_PLUM_05-02-23

-NOT OFFICIAL UNTIL COUNCIL ACTS-